

MAS439/MAS6320
CHAPTER 5: INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

EVGENY SHINDER (PARTLY BASED ON NOTES BY JAMES CRANCH)

5.1. Integral Elements and Integral Extensions. Let R be a commutative ring. Recall from last semester that an R -algebra is a commutative ring S together with a homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$.

In this section we'll talk about some nice classes of R -algebras.

Recall from the first lecture that, when we have an R -algebra S , then S is also an R -module.

Suppose we have a chain of homomorphisms of commutative rings

$$R \xrightarrow{f} S \xrightarrow{g} T.$$

Then f makes S into an R -algebra, g makes T into an S -algebra, and gf makes T into an R -algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose given a chain of homomorphisms as above. If S is a finitely-generated R -module, and T is a finitely-generated S -module, then T is also a finitely-generated R -module.*

Proof. If S is a finitely-generated R -module, then there are elements $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ such that any element $a \in S$ can be expressed as a sum

$$a = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i s_i.$$

If T is a finitely-generated S -module, then there are elements $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T$ such that any element $b \in T$ can be expressed as a sum

$$b = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} b_j t_j.$$

Now, we will show that the elements $s_i t_j$ (for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$) generate T as an R -module.

Indeed, let b be any element of T . We can write

$$b = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} b_j t_j = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} (a_{ij} s_i) t_j = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} a_{ij} (s_i t_j),$$

for some elements $b_j \in S$ and $a_{ij} \in R$, exactly as needed. □

Now we introduce a definition, extremely useful in algebraic number theory and algebraic geometry alike:

Definition 5.2. *Let S be an R -algebra. An element $x \in S$ is said to be integral over R if it satisfies a monic polynomial equation*

$$x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0,$$

where $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in R$.

Date: March 10, 2016.

Formally, what we really mean by that, of course, is that there are elements a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 of R such that

$$x^n + f(a_{n-1})x^{n-1} + \dots + f(a_0) = 0,$$

where $f : R \rightarrow S$ is the structure map of the S -algebra R . But it's commonplace to not mention the structure map, and I'll usually avoid doing so from now on.

Here are some examples and nonexamples.

Example 5.3. *Given a commutative ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$, any element $r \in R$ is integral over R , because it is a root of the equation $x - r = 0$.*

Exercise 5.4. *The element $1/2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is not integral over \mathbb{Z} .*

Example 5.5. *The element $\sqrt{17} \in \mathbb{R}$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} , for example, because it satisfies $x^2 - 17 = 0$.*

Example 5.6. *The golden ratio $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} , because it satisfies $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$.*

Example 5.7. *Any element of \mathbb{C} is integral over \mathbb{R} . Indeed, if $x = a + ib$, then $(x - a)^2 = -b^2$ and hence $x^2 - 2ax + a^2 + b^2 = 0$.*

Now we'll see what this has to do with things we were talking about earlier:

Theorem 5.8. *Let S be an R -algebra. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) S is a finitely-generated R -module;
- (ii) S is generated as an R -algebra by integral elements x_1, \dots, x_n ;
- (iii) S is a finitely-generated R -algebra, and every element of S is integral over R .

Proof. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. We'll prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i) and then (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

In order to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose that S is generated as an R -algebra by x_1, \dots, x_n which are roots of monic polynomials of degrees d_1, \dots, d_n respectively.

Then S is generated as an R -module by the monomials $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$. But in fact we don't need the monomials where $a_i \geq d_i$ for any i , since we can use integrality to rewrite these. This means we have a finite set of generators.

Now we must prove (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Note firstly that if S is a finitely-generated R -module then it's certainly a finitely-generated R -algebra: the module generators generate it as an algebra.

Let x_1, \dots, x_n generate S as an R -module, and let s be any element of S ; we must show s is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in R .

We now employ the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem from last week, taking $I = R$ so that $IM = M$, and $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ to be $m \mapsto sm$. This gives us the monic polynomial we want, with coefficients in R . □

Definition 5.9. *We say that an R -algebra satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.8 is an integral extension.*

Corollary 5.10. *Let R be a commutative ring, and S an R -algebra. Then sums and products of elements which are integral over R are integral over R .*

Proof. Suppose given two elements $x, y \in S$ which are integral over R . Then consider the subalgebra $T \subset S$ generated as an R -algebra by x and y .

Since it's generated by integral elements x and y , by Theorem 5.8 all its elements are integral over R , but this includes $x + y$ and xy . □

Corollary 5.11. *Let R be a commutative ring, and S an R -algebra. Then the set of integral elements forms a subalgebra of S .*

Proof. This is obvious from Example 5.3 and Corollary 5.10 above. \square

We now investigate how integral extensions behave with respect to taking quotient rings. Let $R \xrightarrow{f} S$ be an algebra, and $I \subset R$ be an ideal. In this case the image of $f(I)$ does not have to be an ideal: $f(I) \subset S$ is an abelian subgroup but there is no reason for $f(I)$ to be closed under S -multiplication. For example, if we consider the inclusion homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$, then the image of the ideal (2) , that is the set of all even integers, is not an ideal in \mathbb{Q} .

The right thing to do is to consider the ideal generated by $f(I)$ in S : $IS = f(I)S$. This consists of sums of elements of the form $i \cdot s$, $i \in I$, $s \in S$.

In other words, IS is the smallest ideal in S which contains $f(I)$.

In this setting we can pass to the quotient rings R/I and S/IS and obtain a homomorphism between them as follows. The homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ defines a composition $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/IS$ which factors to give a ring homomorphism $R/I \rightarrow S/IS$ via the rule:

$$r + I \mapsto f(r) + IS,$$

This is well-defined since $f(i) = i \cdot 1 \in IS$.

Lemma 5.12. *If $R \xrightarrow{f} S$ is an integral extension, and $I \subset R$ is an ideal, then the extension $R/I \rightarrow S/IS$ is integral.*

Proof. To check that the extension $R/I \rightarrow S/IS$ is integral we need to show that S/IS is finitely generated as R/I -module. But this is clear: if $s_1, \dots, s_r \in S$ generate S as R -module, then the images $s_1 + IS, \dots, s_r + IS$ generate S/IS , as R -module as well as an R/I -module. \square

5.2. Finite maps of algebraic sets.

Definition 5.13. *We call a polynomial map of algebraic sets $X \rightarrow Y$ finite if the ring homomorphism $k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ is an integral extension.*

Recall that integral extension simply means that $k[X]$ is a finitely generated $k[Y]$ -module. In practice to check that a map is finite we rely on Theorem 5.8: it is sufficient to verify that the $k[Y]$ -algebra $k[X]$ is generated by integral elements.

Example 5.14. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a polynomial map defined by $\phi(t) = t^2$. We check that ϕ is a finite map by considering the coordinate rings. Both coordinate rings are $k[t]$, but it will be less confusing if denote the coordinate on the source \mathbb{A}^1 by t , and on the target \mathbb{A}^1 by u . Then the the map of coordinate rings is:*

$$\begin{aligned} f : k[u] &\rightarrow k[t] \\ p(u) &\mapsto p(\phi(t)) = p(t^2). \end{aligned}$$

By considering the top degree term of p we see that f is injective. The image of f consists of polynomials in t^2 :

$$\text{Im}(f) = k[t^2] \subset k[t].$$

Hence we are talking about an extension $k[t^2] \subset k[t]$. The key point is that the generator t is integral as it satisfies a monic equation

$$x^2 - t^2 = 0$$

with coefficients in $k[t^2]$. By Theorem 5.8 the above extension is integral, hence the map of algebraic sets ϕ is finite.

Theorem 5.15. *Every finite map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ has finite fibers, that is for every $y \in Y$ the set $\phi^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : \phi(x) = y\} \subset X$ is finite.*

Proof. The proof consists of three steps.

Step 1: In this step we identify the fibers algebraically. For every y we construct a ring R^y such that points in $\phi^{-1}(y)$ correspond to maximal ideals in R^y .

For every point $y \in Y$ denote by $m_y \subset k[Y]$ the maximal ideal of functions which vanish at y . Note that by the First Isomorphism Theorem we have $k[Y]/m_y \simeq k$. Similarly, if $x \in X$ we have the corresponding ideal $m_x \subset k[X]$.

We need to translate the condition $\phi(y) = x$ into algebraic language:

Lemma 5.16. *Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a polynomial map of algebraic sets, let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ be points, and let $m_x \subset k[X]$, $m_y \subset k[Y]$ the corresponding maximal ideals. Let $f : k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ be the k -algebra homomorphism corresponding to ϕ . Then we have*

$$\phi(x) = y \iff m_x \supset m_y k[X].$$

Recall that the ideal $m_y k[X]$ is the same thing as $f(m_y)k[X]$: the smallest ideal of $k[X]$ which contains $f(m_y)$.

Proof of the Lemma. Recall how $f : k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ is constructed from $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$: if $h \in k[Y]$ is a polynomial function, then $f(h) = h \circ \phi \in k[X]$, that is $f(h)(x) = h(\phi(x))$.

If $\phi(x) = y$, then for every $h \in k[X]$ if $h \in m_y$, then $f(h) = h \circ \phi \in m_x$, since $f(h)(x) = h(\phi(x)) = h(y) = 0$. This shows that $f(m_y) \subset m_x$, and since m_x is an ideal, this implies that $f(m_y)k[X] \subset m_x$.

Now if $\phi(x) = y' \neq y$, then we can find a function $h \in k[Y]$ such that $h(y) = 0$, $h(y') \neq 0$. In this case $f(h) \notin m_x$ since $f(h)(x) = h(\phi(x)) = h(y') \neq 0$. Thus $f(m_y)k[X] \not\subset m_x$. \square

We continue proving the Theorem. From the Lemma it follows that points in $\phi^{-1}(y)$ are in bijection with maximal ideals in the ring $R^y := k[X]/(m_y k[X])$.

Step 2: In this step we show that R^y is an Artinian ring for every $y \in Y$. Since the extension $k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$ is integral, by Lemma 5.12, for every $y \in Y$ the extension

$$k[Y]/m_y \rightarrow k[X]/(m_y k[X]) = R^y.$$

is integral. On the other hand $k[Y]/m_y$ is isomorphic to k , thus R^y is an integral extension of k , i.e. a finite-dimensional as k -vector space. We deduce that R^y is an Artinian k -module, hence an Artinian ring.

Step 3: In this final step we show that fibers of ϕ are finite. Assume that there are infinitely many points x_1, x_2, \dots in X contained in a fiber $\phi^{-1}(y)$. For every n consider an ideal $I_n = I(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) \subset k[X]$ of functions vanishing at the n points. This is a strictly decreasing chain:

$$I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$$

Since by assumption all the points x_j are contained in the fiber $\phi^{-1}(y)$, every one of the ideals I_n contains the ideal $m_y k[X]$ (like in Step 1). This yields an infinite strictly descending chain of ideals in $k[X]/(m_y k[X]) = R^y$:

$$\overline{I_1} \supset \overline{I_2} \supset \dots$$

contradicting to the fact that R^y is Artinian. This shows that all fibers are in fact finite. \square

Example 5.17. Let us compute the fibers of the finite polynomial map $\phi(t) = t^2$ from Example 5.14. For every $a \in \mathbb{A}^1$ the fiber is

$$\phi^{-1}(a) = \begin{cases} \{\pm\sqrt{a}\}, & a \neq 0 \\ \{0\}, & a = 0 \end{cases}$$

which are evidently finite sets. To demonstrate the proof of Theorem 5.15 we consider the rings R^a , $a \in \mathbb{A}^1$:

$$R^a = k[X]/(m_y k[X]) = k[t]/(t - a) = k[u]/(t^2 - a).$$

Maximal ideals in $k[t]/(t^2 - a)$ correspond to maximal ideals in $k[t]$ that contain $t^2 - a$, i.e. divisors of the polynomial $t^2 - a = (t - \sqrt{a})(t + \sqrt{a})$.

If $a = 0$, then we have $k[t]/(t^2)$, which is a local ring with the maximal ideal (\bar{t}) corresponding to $0 \in \phi^{-1}(0)$. If $a \neq 0$, then there are two maximal ideals $(\bar{t} - \sqrt{a}), (\bar{t} + \sqrt{a}) \subset k[t]/(t^2 - a)$, corresponding to the two elements $\pm\sqrt{a} \in \phi^{-1}(a)$.

Remark 5.18. One can prove that any finite map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective. Thus finite maps are analogous to finite coverings in topology, the difference is that so-called ramification is allowed: some points have fewer preimages than a general point. In the Example 5.14 all fibers have two preimages except for the fiber over $0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ which has one.