

DERIVED CATEGORIES: LECTURE 6

EVGENY SHINDER

REFERENCES

- [AO] V. Alexeev, D. Orlov, *Derived categories of Burniat surfaces and exceptional collections*, [arXiv:1208.4348v2](#)
- [BBS] Christian Böhning, Hans-Christian Graf von Bothmer, Pawel Sosna, *On the derived category of the classical Godeaux surface*, [arXiv:1206.1830v1](#)
- [BBKS] Christian Böhning, Hans-Christian Graf von Bothmer, Ludmil Katzarkov, Pawel Sosna: *Determinantal Barlow surfaces and phantom categories*, [arXiv:1210.0343](#)
- [GO] Sergey Gorchinskiy, Dmitri Orlov: *Geometric Phantom Categories*, [arXiv:1209.6183](#)
- [GS] S.Galkin, E.Shinder, *Exceptional collections of line bundles on the Beauville surface*, [arXiv:1210.3339](#) [math.AG]

1. THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as an abelian group with generators $[A]$ for each isomorphism class $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and relations of the form

$$[A] = [A'] + [A'']$$

for each short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} .

Analogously, if \mathcal{C} is a triangulated category, then the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as an abelian group with generators $[A]$ for each isomorphism class $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and relations of the form

$$[A] = [A'] + [A'']$$

for each distinguished triangle $A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow A'[1]$. Note that it follows that $[A[1]] = -[A]$.

Lemma 1.1. *For an abelian category \mathcal{A} the natural morphism*

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(D^b(\mathcal{A}))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\phi : K_0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(D^b(\mathcal{A}))$ be the morphism which assigns $[A]$ to $[A[0]]$. We need to construct the inverse to ϕ .

Let $\psi : K_0(D^b(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A})$ be the morphism defined as

$$\psi(A^\bullet) := \sum_p (-1)^p [\mathcal{H}^p(A^\bullet)].$$

For a distinguished triangle

$$A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet[1]$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p(A^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p(B^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p(C^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+1}(A^\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

thus

$$\psi(B^\bullet) = \psi(A^\bullet) + \psi(C^\bullet) \in K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

This shows that ψ descends to a well-defined homomorphism

$$\psi : K_0(D^b(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

By construction we have $\psi(\phi([A])) = [A]$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, so that ϕ is injective. Filtering an object by its terms we also see that ϕ is surjective. Hence ϕ is an isomorphism and $\phi^{-1} = \psi$. □

Definition 1.2. Let X be a variety. The Grothendieck group $K_0(X)$ is defined as

$$K_0(X) := K_0(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq K_0(D^b(X)).$$

Proposition 1.3. If $\mathcal{C} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition of triangulated categories, then there is a direct sum decomposition

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) = K_0(\mathcal{A}) \oplus K_0(\mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. A triangulated functor between triangulated categories induces a morphism on Grothendieck groups.

Thus the embeddings $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$ give a canonical morphism $K_0(\mathcal{A}) \oplus K_0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{C})$ and its inverse is given by the sum of the morphisms induced by the two projections $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. □

$K_0(X)$ is endowed with the Euler bilinear form

$$(1.1) \quad \chi(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \sum_p \text{Ext}^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}).$$

One uses the pairing (1.1) to define a *numerically exceptional collection* as a sequence of objects $E_1, \dots, E_r \in D^b(X)$ such that $\chi([E_j], [E_i]) = 0$ for $j > i$. Note that if a sequence is exceptional, then it is also numerically exceptional, but not vice-versa.

2. PHANTOMS AND QUASI-PHANTOMS

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset D^b(X)$ be an admissible subcategory. It has been thought that if $HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ or $K_0(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{A} = 0$. Recent constructions [BBS], [AO], [GS], [GO], [BBKS] showed that this is not the case.

Following [GO] we give the definitions:

Definition 2.1. If $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$, $K_0(\mathcal{A}) = 0$, \mathcal{A} is called a phantom.

If $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$, $HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ and $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is finite torsion, then \mathcal{A} is called a quasi-phantom.

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a smooth projective variety with an exceptional collection E_1, \dots, E_r . Write $D^b(X) = \langle E_1, \dots, E_r, \mathcal{A} \rangle$. Assume that the sum of all Betti numbers $\sum b_k(X)$ is equal to r . Then $HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{C}^r = H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = HH_*(X) = HH_*(pt)^r \oplus HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{C}^r \oplus HH_*(\mathcal{A}),$$

therefore $HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. \square

Remark 2.3. The conditions of Lemma 2.2 can be only be satisfied if all cohomology classes on X are of type (p, p) . Indeed as shown in the proof of the Lemma we have

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) = HH_*(pt)^r,$$

however $HH_*(pt) = HH_0(pt) = \mathbb{C}$ only can contribute to (p, p) classes. In particular if X is a surface, then $p_g(X) = q(X) = 0$ is required.

3. THE BEAUVILLE SURFACE AND ITS PROPERTIES

In what follows G is an abelian group

$$G = (\mathbb{Z}/5)^2 = \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot e_2$$

acting on a three dimensional vector space V with induced action on $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(V)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot (X : Y : Z) &= (\zeta_5 X : Y : Z) \\ e_2 \cdot (X : Y : Z) &= (X : \zeta_5 Y : Z), \end{aligned}$$

where ζ_5 is the 5-th root of unity. Let C be the plane G -invariant Fermat quintic curve

$$X^5 + Y^5 + Z^5 = 0.$$

We consider the scheme-theoretic quotient C/G which is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 and the quotient map

$$\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

of degree 25. Explicitly we may pick coordinates on \mathbb{P}^1 such that π is given by the formula

$$\pi(X : Y : Z) = (X^5 : Y^5).$$

One easily checks that there are three ramification points on \mathbb{P}^1 corresponding to the orbits where G acts non-freely:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{(0 : -\zeta_5^j : 1), j = 0 \dots 4\} \\ D_2 &= \{(-\zeta_5^j : 0 : 1), j = 0 \dots 4\} \\ D_3 &= \{(\zeta_5^j : -\zeta_5^j : 0), j = 0 \dots 4\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Stabilizers of the points in D_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot e_1 \\ G_2 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot e_2 \\ G_3 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot (e_1 + e_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *The equivariant Picard group $\text{Pic}^G(C)$ splits as a direct sum*

$$\text{Pic}^G(C) = \widehat{G} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}(1).$$

The canonical class is uniquely divisible by 2, and if we write $\mathcal{K}_C(1)$ for the resulting line bundle, $\mathcal{K}_C(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ differ by torsion, more precisely, we have $\mathcal{K}_C(1) = \mathcal{O}_C(1)[3, 3]$.

We introduce the curve C' which is defined by the same equation

$$X^5 + Y^5 + Z^5 = 0$$

as C but has a different G -action. We pick the G -action on C' to be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \cdot (X : Y : Z) &= (\zeta_5^2 X : \zeta_5^4 Y : Z) \\ e_2 \cdot (X : Y : Z) &= (\zeta_5 X : \zeta_5^3 Y : Z) \end{aligned}$$

For this action points in divisors D_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ defined as in (3.1) have stabilizers

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} G'_1 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot (e_1 + 2e_2) \\ G'_2 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot (e_1 + 3e_2) \\ G'_3 &= \mathbb{Z}/5 \cdot (e_1 + 4e_2) \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We let $T = C \times C'$ with the diagonal G -action. Since the stabilizers in (3.2) and (3.3) are distinct, the G -action on T is free. One can check that the corresponding smooth quotient Beauville surface $S = T/G$ is of general type with $p_g = q = 0, K^2 = 8$ (Chapter X, Exercise 4 in [?]). The Noether formula gives $b_2 = 2$. Since $p_g = q = 0$, the exponential exact sequence gives an identification

$$\text{Pic}(S) = H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Modulo torsion $\text{Pic}(S)$ is an indefinite unimodular lattice of rank 2, that is a hyperbolic plane.

We introduce G -linearized line bundles $\mathcal{O}(i, j)$ and $\mathcal{K}(i, j)$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(i, j) &= p_1^*(\mathcal{O}(i)) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{O}(j)) \\ \mathcal{K}(i, j) &= p_1^*(\mathcal{K}(i)) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{K}(j)) = \mathcal{O}(i, j)[3i + 3j, 3i + 2j]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *1. The Picard group of S splits as*

$$\text{Pic}(S)(= \text{Pic}^G(T)) = \widehat{G} \cdot [\mathcal{O}] \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot [\mathcal{O}(1, 0)] \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot [\mathcal{O}(0, 1)].$$

2. *The Grothendieck group has a decomposition $K_0(S) = \mathbb{Z}^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/5)^2$.*
3. *The canonical class ω_S is equal to $\mathcal{K}(2, 2) = \mathcal{O}(2, 2)[2, 0]$.*
4. *The intersection pairing is given by*

$$(\mathcal{O}(i_1, j_1)(\chi_1) \cdot \mathcal{O}(i_2, j_2)(\chi_2)) = i_1 j_2 + j_1 i_2.$$

5. *The Euler characteristic of a line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}(i, j)(\chi)$ is equal to $(i - 1)(j - 1)$.*

Proof. See [GS], Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 2.7. □

4. EXCEPTIONAL AND NUMERICALLY EXCEPTIONAL COLLECTIONS ON THE
BEAUVILLE SURFACE

Lemma 4.1. *A sequence*

$$\mathcal{O}, L_1, L_2, L_3$$

of line bundles on S is numerically exceptional if and only if it belongs to one of the following four numerical types (that is each object is allowed to be twisted by an arbitrary character):

$$\begin{aligned} (I_c) \quad & \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(-1, 0), \mathcal{O}(c-1, -1), \mathcal{O}(c-2, -1), \quad c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (II_c) \quad & \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(0, -1), \mathcal{O}(-1, c-1), \mathcal{O}(-1, c-2), \quad c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (III_c) \quad & \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(-1, c), \mathcal{O}(-1, c-1), \mathcal{O}(-2, -1), \quad c \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (IV_c) \quad & \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(c, -1), \mathcal{O}(c-1, -1), \mathcal{O}(-1, -2), \quad c \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It easily follows from 3.2 (5) that all listed sequences are numerically exceptional. For the reverse implication see [GS], Lemma 3.1. \square

We now investigate which of the numerically exceptional collections of Lemma 4.1 can be lifted to exceptional collections. Here by a lift we mean a lift with respect to the morphism

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \widehat{G} = \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)/\text{tors} = \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

that is a choice of a character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. We will need a detailed study of the characters that may appear in the cohomology groups of sheaves on T .

For a G -linearized line bundle on T we define the acyclic set of L as

$$\mathcal{A}(L) := \{\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*) : \chi \notin [H^*(T, L)]\}$$

By definition $L(\chi)$ is acyclic if and only if $-\chi \in \mathcal{A}(L)$. Since by Proposition 3.2(1), any line bundle on S is isomorphic to some $\mathcal{K}(i, j)(\chi)$, we see from the next lemma that there are 39 isomorphism classes of acyclic line bundles on S .

Lemma 4.2. *The only nonempty acyclic sets of line bundles $\mathcal{K}(i, j)$ on S are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, -2)) &= \{[0, 0]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, -1)) &= \{[0, 3], [2, 0], [3, 2]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, 0)) &= \{[0, 0], [0, 1], [0, 2], [1, 4], [2, 3], [3, 0], [4, 0]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, 1)) &= \{[0, 0], [1, 2], [2, 1], [2, 2], [3, 3], [3, 4], [4, 3]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, 2)) &= \{[0, 0], [0, 3], [0, 4], [1, 0], [2, 0], [3, 2], [4, 1]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, 3)) &= \{[0, 2], [2, 3], [3, 0]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(1, 4)) &= \{[0, 0]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(-1, 1)) &= \{[0, 0]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(0, 1)) &= \{[0, 0], [3, 3], [3, 4], [4, 3]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(2, 1)) &= \{[0, 0], [1, 2], [2, 1], [2, 2]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{K}(3, 1)) &= \{[0, 0]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [GS], Lemma 3.3. \square

Theorem 4.3. *The following list contains all exceptional collections of length 4 consisting of line bundles on S (up to a common twist by a line bundle):*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (I_1) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(-1, 0), \mathcal{K}(0, -1), \mathcal{K}(-1, -1) \\
& (IV_1) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(1, -1), \mathcal{K}(0, -1), \mathcal{K}(-1, -2) \\
(4.1) \quad & (I_{-1}) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(-1, 0), \mathcal{K}(-2, -1), \mathcal{K}(-3, -1) \\
& (IV_{-1}) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(-1, -1), \mathcal{K}(-2, -1), \mathcal{K}(-1, -2) \\
& (II_0 = IV_0) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(0, -1), \mathcal{K}(-1, -1), \mathcal{K}(-1, -2) \\
& (I_0) \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}(-1, 0), \mathcal{K}(-1, -1), \mathcal{K}(-2, -1).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [GS], Theorem 3.5. □

Corollary 4.4. *The Beauville surface S admits quasi-phantom subcategories \mathcal{A} with $K_0(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathbb{Z}/5)^2$.*

Proof. Taking orthogonals to collections in Theorem 4.3 and using Lemma 2.2 we see that $HH_*(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. An argument using additivity of K_0 and Proposition 3.2(2) shows that

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathbb{Z}/5)^2.$$

□